

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Baltimore

State: MD

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$124,242,998

PJ's Size Grouping*: A

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | A | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: 6 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 96.76 % | 94.70 % | 1 | 94.94 % | 77 | 69 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 89.72 % | 82.62 % | 1 | 84.35 % | 74 | 66 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 4.79 | 6.22 | 1 | 4.59 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 92.08 % | 90.46 % | 3 | 81.38 % | 65 | 43 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 64.71 % | 60.35 % | 2 | 68.05 % | 40 | 36 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 91.65 % | 89.39 % | 3 | 79.65 % | 89 | 75 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 61.16 % | 57.96 % | 2 | 44.76 % | 91 | 79 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 99.97 % | 98.52 % | 3 | 94.31 % | 84 | 64 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 2 / 6 | Nationally: | 88 82 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$18,193 | \$24,077 | | \$25,245 | 3,316 Units | 76.50 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$15,673 | \$10,046 | | \$14,395 | 979 Units | 22.60 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$50,104 | \$30,677 | | \$20,186 | 42 Units | 1.00 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$4,002 | | \$3,142 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (297 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Baltimore MD

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

PJ:
State:*
National:**

| Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|----------|-----------|-----------|
| \$93,425 | \$100,588 | \$97,800 |
| \$71,395 | \$101,714 | \$25,507 |
| \$88,539 | \$71,594 | \$22,853 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 2.1 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.9

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| White: | 16.5 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 82.2 | 86.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Hispanic | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 1 Person: | 60.2 | 35.8 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
| 2 Persons: | 17.4 | 27.2 | 26.2 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 13.0 | 19.2 | 31.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 6.3 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 1.9 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 23.0 | 38.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 |
| Elderly: | 44.6 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 23.7 | 40.1 | 61.9 | 0.0 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 4.5 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
| Other: | 4.1 | 10.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|--|--|
| Section 8: | 36.1 | 0.4 [#] |
| HOME TBRA: | 0.5 | |
| Other: | 11.4 | |
| No Assistance: | 51.8 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 253

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



**HOME PROGRAM
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Baltimore State: MD Group Rank: 88
(Percentile)
State Rank: 2 / 6 PJs Overall Rank: 82
(Percentile)
Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.00% | 92.08 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.30% | 64.71 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 91.65 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 89.50% | 99.97 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.060 | 2.59 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

